Study of parameter degeneracy and hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA in presence of sterile neutrino

Monojit Ghosh,[1,*] Shivani Gupta,[2,†] Zachary M. Matthews,[2,‡] Pankaj Sharma,[2,§] and Anthony G. Williams[2,¶]

[1]*Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan*
[2]*Center of Excellence for Particle Physics at the Terascale (CoEPP), University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia*

The first hint of the neutrino mass hierarchy is believed to come from the long-baseline experiment NOνA. Recent results from the NOνA shows a mild preference towards the CP phase $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ and normal hierarchy. Fortunately this is the favorable area of the parameter space which does not suffer from the hierarchy-δ_{13} degeneracy and thus NOνA can have good hierarchy sensitivity for this true combination of hierarchy and δ_{13}. Apart from the hierarchy-δ_{13} degeneracy there is also the octant-δ_{13} degeneracy. But this does not affect the favorable parameter space of NOνA as this degeneracy can be resolved with a balanced neutrino and antineutrino run. However, ff we consider the existence of a light sterile neutrino then there may be additional degeneracies which can spoil the hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA even in the favorable parameter space. In the present work we find that apart from the degeneracies mentioned above, there are additional hierarchy and octant degeneracies that appear with the new phase δ_{14} in the presence of a light sterile neutrino in the eV scale. In contrast to the hierarchy and octant degeneracies appearing with δ_{13}, the parameter space for hierarchy-δ_{14} degeneracy is different in neutrinos and antineutrinos though the octant-δ_{14} degeneracy behaves similarly in neutrinos and antineutrinos. We study the effect of these degeneracies on the hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA for the true normal hierarchy.

arXiv:1704.04771v1 [hep-ph] 16 Apr 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

Neutrino oscillation physics has developed significantly since its discovery, with precision measurements finally being carried out for the mixing parameters. In the standard three flavor scenario, neutrino oscillation is parametrized by three mixing angles: θ_{12}, θ_{23} and θ_{13}, two mass squared differences: Δm^2_{21} and Δm^2_{31} and one Dirac type CP phase δ_{13}. Among these parameters the current unknowns are: (i) the sign of Δm^2_{31} which gives rise to two possible orderings of the neutrinos which are: normal ($\Delta m^2_{31} > 0$ or NH) and inverted ($\Delta m^2_{31} < 0$ or IH) (ii), two possible octants of the mixing angle θ_{23} which are lower ($\theta_{23} < 45^\circ$ or LO) and higher ($\theta_{23} > 45^\circ$ or HO), and (iii) finally the phase δ_{13}. The currently running experiments intending to discover these unknowns are T2K [1] in Japan and NOνA [2] at Fermilab. The main problem in determining the oscillation parameters in long-baseline experiments is the existence of parameter degeneracy [3, 4]. Parameter degeneracy implies same value of oscillation probability for two different sets of oscillation parameters. In standard three flavor scenario, currently there are two types of degeneracies: (i) hierarchy-δ_{13} degeneracy [5] and (ii) octant-δ_{13} degeneracy [6]. The dependence of hierarchy-δ_{13} degeneracy is same in neutrinos and antineutrinos but the octant-δ_{13} degeneracy behaves differently for neutrinos and antineutrinos [7, 8]. Thus the octant-δ_{13} degeneracy can be resolved with a balanced run of neutrinos and antineutrinos but a similar method cannot remove the hierarchy-δ_{13} degeneracy. However, despite the hierarchy-δ_{13} degeneracy being unremovable in general, the parameter space can be divided into a favorable region where it is completely absent for long-baseline experiments, and an unfavorable region where it is present. For NOνA, the favorable parameter space is around $\{\text{NH}, \delta_{13} = -90^\circ\}$ and $\{\text{IH}, \delta_{13} = +90^\circ\}$ whereas the unfavorable parameter space is around $\{\text{NH}, \delta_{13} = 90^\circ\}$ and $\{\text{IH}, \delta_{13} = -90^\circ\}$. The recent data from NOνA shows a mild preference towards $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ and normal hierarchy [2]. From the above discussion we understand that for these combinations of true hierarchy and true δ_{13}, NOνA can have good hierarchy sensitivity and thus it is believed that the first evidence for the neutrino mass hierarchy will come from the NOνA experiment. However the understanding of degeneracies can completely change in new physics scenarios. This occurs for example if there exists a light sterile neutrino in addition to the three active neutrinos (the 3+1 scenario).

Sterile neutrinos are SU(2) singlets that do not interact with the Standard Model (SM) particles but can take part in neutrino oscillations. Recently there has been some experimental evidence supporting the existence of a light sterile neutrino at the eV scale. This has motivated re-examination of oscillation analyses of the long-baseline experiments in the presence of sterile neutrinos [9–19]. For details regarding the first hints of the existence of sterile neutrinos and for the current status we refer to Refs [20–31]. In the presence of an extra sterile neutrino, there will be three new mixing angles namely θ_{14}, θ_{24} and θ_{34}, two new Dirac type CP phases δ_{14}, δ_{34} and one new mass squared difference Δm^2_{41}. Thus in the presence of these new parameters there can be additional degeneracies involving the standard mixing parameters and sterile mixing parameters. In this work we study the parameter degeneracy in this increased parameter space in detail. From the probability level analysis we find that in 3+1 case, we have two new kind of degeneracies which are the (i) hierarchy-δ_{14} and (ii) octant-δ_{14} degeneracies. Our results also show that in this case the scenario is completely opposite to that of the hierarchy and

* Email Address: monojit@phys.se.tmu.ac.jp;
ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3540-6548
† Email Address: shivani.gupta@adelaide.edu.au;
ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0540-3418
‡ Email Address: zachary.matthews@adelaide.edu.au;
ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8033-7225
§ Email Address: pankaj.sharma@adelaide.edu.au;
ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1873-1349
¶ Email Address: anthony.williams@adelaide.edu.au;
ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1472-1592

octant degeneracy arising with δ_{13}. The hierarchy-δ_{14} degeneracy is opposite for both neutrinos and antineutrinos but the octant-δ_{14} degeneracy behaves similarly in neutrinos and antineutrinos. Thus unlike the octant-δ_{13} degeneracy, the octant degeneracy in this case can not be resolved by a combination of neutrino and antineutrino runs while the hierarchy degeneracy can be resolved with a balanced combination of neutrino and antineutrinos which was not the case for the hierarchy-δ_{13} degeneracy. To show the degenerate parameter space in terms of χ^2, we present our results in the $\theta_{23}(\text{test})$-$\delta_{13}(\text{test})$ plane taking different values of δ_{14}. We do this for two values of θ_{23} (true): one in LO and one in HO and for the current best-fit of NOνA i.e., $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ and NH (favorable parameter space). We show this for considering (i) NOνA running in pure neutrino mode and (ii) NOνA running in equal neutrino and equal antineutrino mode. Next we discuss the effect of these degeneracies on the hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA. We find that because of the existence of hierarchy-δ_{14} and octant-δ_{14} degeneracy, the hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA is highly compromised at the current best-fit value of NOνA (i.e. $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ and NH). To show this we plot hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA in the θ_{23} (true)-δ_{13} (true) plane taking different true values of δ_{14} for NH. We also identify the values of δ_{14} for which the hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA gets affected. To the best of our knowledge this is the first comprehensive analysis of parameter degeneracies and their effect on hierarchy sensitivity in presence of a sterile neutrino has been carried out.

The structure of the paper goes as follows. In Section II we discuss the oscillatory behaviour in the 3+1 neutrino scheme. In Section III we give our experimental specification. In section IV we discuss the the various degeneracies both at probability and event level. In Section V we give our results for hierarchy sensitivity and finally in Section VI we present our conclusions.

II. OSCILLATION THEORY

The PMNS matrix can be parametrized in many ways, the most common form with three neutrino flavors is:

$$U^{3\nu}_{\text{PMNS}} = U(\theta_{23}, 0)U(\theta_{13}, \delta_{CP})U(\theta_{12}, 0)\,. \tag{1}$$

where $U(\theta_{ij}, \delta_{ij})$ contains a corresponding 2×2 mixing matrix:

$$U^{2\times2}(\theta_{ij}, \delta_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{c}_{ij} & \text{s}_{ij}e^{i\delta_{ij}} \\ -\text{s}_{ij}e^{i\delta_{ij}} & \text{c}_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

embedded in an $n \times n$ array in the i, j sub-block. Note the abbreviation of trigonometric terms:

$$\text{s}_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij}, \tag{3}$$
$$\text{c}_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}. \tag{4}$$

We also use the conventions for mass-squared differences

$$\Delta m^2_{ij} = m^2_i - m^2_j\,, \tag{5}$$

and we write the oscillation factors

$$\Delta_{ij} = \frac{\Delta m^2_{ij} L}{4E}\,. \tag{6}$$

Extending to four flavors we use the parametrization:

$$U^{4\nu}_{\text{PMNS}} = U(\theta_{34}, \delta_{34})U(\theta_{24}, 0)U(\theta_{14}, \delta_{14})U^{3\nu}_{\text{PMNS}}\,. \tag{7}$$

Where the three new matrices introduce the new mixing angles: $\theta_{14}, \theta_{24}, \theta_{34}$ and phases: δ_{14}, δ_{34}. The final new oscillation parameter is the fourth independent mass-squared difference which comes into the probability and is usually chosen to be Δm^2_{41} to remain consistent with the 3ν parameters. Assuming that $\Delta m^2_{41} \gg \Delta m^2_{31}$, and that we are operating near the oscillation maximum where $\sin^2\Delta_{31} \approx 1$, then the sterile-induced oscillations from $\sin^2\Delta_{41}$ terms will be very rapid. Hence the four flavor vacuum ν_μ to ν_e oscillation probability can be averaged over the sterile oscillation factor Δ_{41} i.e.

$$\langle\sin^2\Delta_{41}\rangle = \langle\cos^2\Delta_{41}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \tag{8}$$
$$\langle\sin\Delta_{41}\rangle = \langle\cos\Delta_{41}\rangle = 0 \tag{9}$$

this reflects the inherent averaging that the long-baseline detectors see due to the very short wavelength of the sterile induced oscillations and their limited energy resolution.

Once the averaging has been done the probability expression can be written using the conventions and approach from [32] as:

$$\begin{aligned} P^{4\nu}_{\mu e} = \;& P^{\text{ATM}} + P^{\text{SOL}} + P^{\text{STR}} \\ & + P^{\text{INT}}_{\text{I}} + P^{\text{INT}}_{\text{II}} + P^{\text{INT}}_{\text{III}}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where P^{ATM}, P^{SOL} and $P^{\text{INT}}_{\text{I}}$ are modified from the three flavor probability terms by the factor $(1 - \text{s}^2_{14} - \text{s}^2_{24})$, i.e.

$$P^{\text{ATM}} = (1 - \text{s}^2_{14} - \text{s}^2_{24})P^{\text{ATM}}_{3\nu}, \tag{11}$$
$$P^{\text{SOL}} = (1 - \text{s}^2_{14} - \text{s}^2_{24})P^{\text{SOL}}_{3\nu}, \tag{12}$$
$$P^{\text{INT}}_{\text{I}} = (1 - \text{s}^2_{14} - \text{s}^2_{24})P^{\text{INT}}_{3\nu}. \tag{13}$$

With the 3ν terms:

$$P^{\text{ATM}}_{3\nu} \approx 4\text{s}^2_{23}\text{s}^2_{13}\sin^2\Delta_{31}, \tag{14}$$
$$P^{\text{SOL}}_{3\nu} \approx 4\text{c}^2_{12}\text{c}^2_{23}\text{s}^2_{12}\sin^2\Delta_{21}, \tag{15}$$
$$P^{\text{INT}}_{3\nu} \approx 8\text{s}_{13}\text{s}_{12}\text{c}_{12}\text{s}_{23}\text{c}_{23}\sin\Delta_{21}\sin\Delta_{31}\cos(\Delta_{31} + \delta_{13}). \tag{16}$$

The new 4ν terms are

$$P^{\text{STR}} \approx 2\text{s}^2_{14}\text{s}^2_{24}, \tag{17}$$
$$P^{\text{INT}}_{\text{II}} \approx 4\text{s}_{14}\text{s}_{24}\text{s}_{13}\text{s}_{23}\sin\Delta_{31}\sin(\Delta_{31} + \delta_{13} - \delta_{14}), \tag{18}$$
$$P^{\text{INT}}_{\text{III}} \approx -4\text{s}_{14}\text{s}_{24}\text{c}_{23}\text{s}_{12}\text{c}_{12}\sin(\Delta_{21})\sin\delta_{14}. \tag{19}$$

However, in the case of NOνA we can simplify this with approximations. Again, from [32],the constraints on the sterile

mixing angles imply that the absolute values for $P^{\rm SOL}$, $P^{\rm STR}$ and $P^{\rm INT}_{\rm III}$ are less than 0.003 so can be neglected. Additionally, for simplicity we neglect the terms multiplied by $\rm s_{14}$ and $\rm s_{24}$ in $P^{\rm ATM}$ and $P^{\rm INT}$, leaving:

$$P^{4\nu}_{\mu e} \approx P^{\rm ATM}_{3\nu} + P^{\rm INT}_{3\nu} + P^{\rm INT}_{\rm II}. \tag{20}$$

which is:

$$\begin{aligned} P^{4\nu}_{\mu e} = & \quad 4\mathrm{s}^2_{23}\mathrm{s}^2_{13}\sin^2\Delta_{31} \\ & + 8\mathrm{s}_{13}\mathrm{s}_{12}\mathrm{c}_{12}\mathrm{s}_{23}\mathrm{c}_{23}\sin\Delta_{21}\sin\Delta_{31}\cos(\Delta_{31}+\delta_{13}) \\ & + 4\mathrm{s}_{14}\mathrm{s}_{24}\mathrm{s}_{13}\mathrm{s}_{23}\sin\Delta_{31}\sin(\Delta_{31}+\delta_{13}-\delta_{14}). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

From the Δ_{31}, δ_{13} and δ_{41} dependent terms arise the hierarchy-CP degeneracies, due to the unconstrained sign of Δ_{31} and the (mostly) unconstrained CP phases δ_{13} and δ_{14}, which can compensate for sign changes in Δ_{31}. The above formula is for neutrinos. The relevant formula for the antineutrinos can be obtained by replacing δ_{13} by $-\delta_{13}$ and δ_{14} by $-\delta_{14}$. Note that the above expression is for vacuum and free from the parameters θ_{34} and δ_{34}.

4ν Parameters	True Value	Test Value Range
$\sin^2\theta_{12}$	0.304	N/A
$\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$	0.085	N/A
$\theta^{\rm LO}_{23}$	40°	$(40^\circ, 50^\circ)$
$\theta^{\rm HO}_{23}$	50°	$(40^\circ, 50^\circ)$
$\sin^2\theta_{14}$	0.025	N/A
$\sin^2\theta_{24}$	0.025	N/A
θ_{34}	0°	N/A
δ_{13}	-90°	$(-180^\circ, 180^\circ)$
δ_{14}	$-90^\circ, 0^\circ, 90^\circ$	$(-180^\circ, 180^\circ)$
δ_{34}	0°	N/A
Δm^2_{21}	$7.5\times10^{-5}\mathrm{eV}^2$	N/A
Δm^2_{31}	$2.475\times10^{-3}\mathrm{eV}^2$	$(2.2, 2.6)\times10^{-3}\mathrm{eV}^2$
Δm^2_{41}	$1\mathrm{eV}^2$	N/A

TABLE I: Expanded 4ν parameter true values and test marginalisation ranges, parameters with N/A are not marginalised over.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SPECIFICATION

For our analysis we consider the currently running long-baseline experiment NOνA. NOνA is an 812 km baseline experiment using the NuMI beam line at Fermilab directing a beam of ν_μ's through a near detector (also at Fermilab) onto the NOνA far detector located in Ash River Minnesota in the USA. For NOνA we assume $3+\bar{3}$ (three years neutrino and three years antineutrino running) unless specified otherwise. The detector is 14 kt liquid argon detector. Our experimental specification of coincides with [33]. To perform analysis we use the GLoBES software package along with files for 3+1 case PMNS matrices and probabilities [34–37].

IV. IDENTIFYING NEW DEGENERACIES IN THE PRESENCE OF A STERILE NEUTRINO

The information for the standard oscillation parameters comes from the global analysis of the world neutrino data [38–40]. For the sterile neutrino parameters θ_{14}, θ_{24} and Δm^2_{41} our best-fit values are consistent with Refs. [30, 41–43]. We have set θ_{34} and δ_{34} to zero throughout our analysis due to them not appearing in the vacuum equation for $P_{\mu e}$. Our choice of the neutrino oscillation parameters are listed in Table I.

A. Identifying degeneracies at the probability level

In this section we will discuss parameter degeneracies in 3+1 case at the probability level. In Fig. 1 we plot the appearance channel probability $P(\nu_\mu \to \nu_e)$ vs energy for the NOνA baseline. For plotting the probabilities we have averaged the rapid oscillations due to Δm^2_{41}. The left column corresponds to neutrinos and the right column corresponds to antineutrinos. In all the panels δ_{13} is taken as -90° and the bands are due to the variation of δ_{14}.

The upper panels of Fig. 1 shows the hierarchy-δ_{14} degeneracy. For these panels θ_{23} is taken as 45°. NH (IH) corresponds to $\Delta m^2_{31} = +(-)2.4\times10^{-3}$ eV^2. In both the panels the blue bands correspond to NH and the red bands correspond to IH. Note that in the neutrino probabilities, the green band is above the red band and it is opposite in the antineutrinos. This is because, the matter effect enhances the probability for NH for neutrinos and IH for antineutrinos. For each given band, $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ$ corresponds to the maximum point in the probability and $+90^\circ$ corresponds to the minimum point in the probability, for both neutrinos and antineutrinos. These features in the probability can be understood in the following way. From Eq. 21, we see the neutrino appearance channel probability depends on the phases as: $a + b\cos(\Delta_{31}+\delta_{13}) + c\sin(\Delta_{31}+\delta_{13}-\delta_{14})$, where a, b and c are positive quantities. At the oscillation maxima we have $\Delta_{31} = 90^\circ$. As our probability curves correspond to $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$, for neutrinos we obtain $a + b - c\sin\delta_{14}$. Now it is easy to understand that the contribution to the probability will be maximum for $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ$ and minimum for $\delta_{14} = +90^\circ$. Now let us see what happens for antineutrinos. For antineutrinos, we change sign of δ_{13} and δ_{14} in Eq. 21 and we obtain for $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ as $a - b - c\sin\delta_{14}$. Thus even for the antineutrinos, the probability is maximum for $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ$ and minimum for $\delta_{14} = +90^\circ$. This is in stark contrast to the behaviour of δ_{13}, as in the standard three flavor case, $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ corresponds to the maximum probability while $\delta_{13} = +90^\circ$ corresponds the minimum probability for neutrinos (vice-versa for antineutrinos). From the plots we see that there is overlap between $\{$NH, $\delta_{14} = 90^\circ\}$ and $\{$IH, $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ\}$ for the neutrinos and $\{$NH, $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ\}$ and $\{$IH, $\delta_{14} = +90^\circ\}$ for antineutrinos. Thus we understand that unlike the nature of hierarchy-δ_{13} degeneracy, the hierarchy-δ_{14} degeneracy is different in neutrinos and antineutrinos so in



FIG. 1: $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ oscillation probability bands for $\delta_{13} = -90°$. Left panels are for neutrinos and right panels are for antineutrinos. The upper panel shows the hierarchy-δ_{14} degeneracy and the lower panels shows the octant-δ_{14} degeneracy.

principle a balanced combination of neutrino and antineutrino should be able to resolve this degeneracy.

In the lower panels of Fig. 1, we depict the octant-δ_{14} degeneracy. In these panels LO corresponds to $\theta_{23} = 40°$ and HO corresponds to $50°$. Here the hierarchy is chosen to be normal with $\Delta m^2_{31} = +2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ eV^2. In both the panels, the blue band correspond to LO and the red band correspond to HO. Note that in both the panels, the red band is above the blue band. This is because the appearance channel oscillation probability increases with increasing θ_{23} for both neutrinos and antineutrinos. As already explained in the above paragraph, for each given band, $\delta_{14} = -90°$ corresponds to the maximum value in the probability and $\delta_{14} = +90°$ to the minimum point in the probability for both neutrinos and antineutrinos. From the panels we see that (LO, $\delta_{14} = -90°$) is degenerate with (HO, $\delta_{14} = +90°$). It is interesting to note that this degeneracy is same in both neutrinos and antineutrinos [12]. This is a remarkable difference compared to the octant-δ_{13} degeneracy which is different for neutrinos and antineutrinos. Thus we understand that in the 3+1 scenario, it is impossible to remove the octant degeneracy by combining neutrino and antineutrino runs.

B. Identifying degeneracies at the event level

Now we analyze the relevant degeneracies at the χ^2 level. In Fig. 2 we have given the contours in the $\theta_{23}(\text{test})$-$\delta_{13}(\text{test})$ plane for three different values of δ_{14} at 90% C.L. The first and second column correspond to the case when NOνA runs in pure neutrino mode and the third and fourth column correspond to the case when NOνA runs in equal neutrino and antineutrino mode. Note that though the current plan for NOνA is to run in the equal neutrino and antineutrino mode, we have produced plots corresponding to the pure neutrino run of NOνA to understand the role of antineutrinos in resolving the degeneracies. We have chosen the true parameter space to coincide with the latest best-fit of NOνA i.e. $\delta_{13} = -90°$ and NH. While generating the plots we have marginalized over δ_{14}, $|\Delta m^2_{31}|$ in the test parameters while all the other relevant parameters are kept fixed in both the true and test spectrum. The top, middle and bottom rows correspond to $\delta_{14} = -90°$, $0°$ and $+90°$ respectively. In each row the first and third panel correspond to LO ($\theta_{23} = 40°$) and the second and fourth panel correspond to HO ($\theta_{23} = 50°$). These values of θ_{23} are the closest to the current best-fit according the latest global analysis. For comparison we also have given the contours for the standard three generation case. Note that because of the existence of hierarchy-δ_{14} and octant-δ_{14} degeneracies, there will be three spurious solutions in addition to the true solution which are the: (i) right hierarchy-wrong octant (RH-WO), (ii) wrong hierarchy-right octant (WH-RO) and (iii) wrong hierarchy-wrong octant (WH-WO) solutions. As the hierarchy-δ_{14} and octant-δ_{14} degeneracy occurs for any given value of δ_{13} (which is $-90°$ in this case), all the above mentioned three spurious solutions should appear at the correct value of $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90°$. Below we discuss the appearance of these spurious solutions in detail.

Let us start with the three generation case. The red contour is for RH solutions and the purple contour is for WH



FIG. 2: Contour plots in the $\theta_{23}(\text{test})$ vs $\delta_{13}(\text{test})$ plane for two different true values of $\theta_{23} = 40^\circ$ (first and third column) and 50° (second and fourth column) for NOνA $(6 + \bar{0})$ (first and second column) and $(3 + \bar{3})$ (third and fourth column). The first, second and third rows are for $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ$, 0° and 90° respectively. The true value for the δ_{13} is taken to be -90°. The true hierarchy is NH. We marginalize over the test values of δ_{14}. Also shown is the contours for the 3ν flavor scenario.

solutions. For NOνA $(6 + \bar{0})$ and LO (first column), we see that apart from correct solution (the contour around the true point), there is a RH-WO solution around $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = +90^\circ$ and a WH-WO solution around $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90^\circ$. Note that both of these wrong solutions vanish in the NOνA $(3 + \bar{3})$ case (third column). This is because as we mentioned earlier, the octant degeneracy in the standard three flavor scenario behaves differently for neutrinos and antineutrinos and a balanced combination of them can resolve this degeneracy. On the other hand for NOνA $(6 + \bar{0})$ and HO (second column), there are no wrong solutions apart from the true solution but in NOνA $(3 + \bar{3})$ (fourth column), a small RH-WO solution appears around $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90^\circ$. This can be understood in the following way. The addition of antineutrinos helps in the sensitivity only if there is degeneracy in the pure neutrino mode. But if there is no degeneracy, then replacing neutrinos with antineutrinos causes a reduction in the statistics as the neutrino cross section is almost three times higher than the antineutrino cross section. As $\{\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$, NH, HO $\}$ does not suffer from degeneracy in the pure neutrino mode, addition of antineutrinos makes the precision of θ_{23} worse as compared to NOνA $(6 + \bar{0})$ and a WO solution appears for NOνA $(3 + \bar{3})$.

Now let us discuss the case for the 3+1 scenario for $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ$ (first row). In these figures the blue contours correspond to the RH solution and the green contours correspond to the WH solutions. For NOνA $(6 + \bar{0})$ and LO (first panel), we see that there is a RH-WO solution for the entire range of $\delta_{13}(\text{test})$. Note that NH and $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ$ don't suffer from the hierarchy-δ_{14} degeneracy but we find a WH solution appears with WO around $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90^\circ$ which disappears in the NOνA $(3 + \bar{3})$ case (third panel). The RH-WO solution around $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90^\circ$ on the other hand, remains unresolved even in the NOνA $(3 + \bar{3})$ case. This is because that the octant - δ_{14} degeneracy is same for neutrinos and antineutrinos. This is one of the major new features of the 3+1 case when compared to the three generation case. In the three generation case, NOνA $(3 + \bar{3})$ is free from all the degeneracies for $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ in NH and LO but if we introduce a sterile neutrino, then there will be an additional WO solution even at 90% C.L. For HO, we see that $(6 + \bar{0})$ configuration is almost free from any degeneracies except for a small RH-WO solu-

tion (second panel). For NOνA $(3+\bar{3})$, the lack of statistics decrease the θ_{23} precision and there is a growth in the WO region (fourth panel).

Next let us discuss the case for $\delta_{14} = +90^\circ$ (third row). For $6+\bar{0}$ and LO (first panel) we see that there is a WH-RO solution around $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90^\circ$, a WH-WO solution for the entire range of $\delta_{13}(\text{test})$ and RH-WO solution around $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = +90^\circ$. In this case the inclusion of the antineutrino run of NOνA (third panel) almost resolves all the degenerate solutions but a small WH solution remains unresolved. This indicates that in this case the statistics of the antineutrino run are not sufficient to remove the RH-WO solution. For HO, we have the RH-WO and WH-RO solutions, both at $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90^\circ$ for NOνA$(6+\bar{0})$ (second panel). For NOνA$(3+\bar{3})$ we see that the WH solution gets removed but the WO solution remains unresolved (fourth panel).

For $\delta_{14} = 0^\circ$ (middle row), we see that there is a RH-WO solution in the entire range of $\delta_{13}(\text{test})$ and WH-WO solution around $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90^\circ$ for NOνA $(6+\bar{0})$ in LO (first panel). By the inclusion of antineutrino run, the WH-WO region gets resolved but the RH-WO solution remains unresolved at $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90^\circ$ (third panel). Apart from that, there is also the emergence of a WH-RO solution at $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90^\circ$. For the HO, we see that apart from the true solution, there is a RH-WO region for both NOνA $(6+\bar{0})$ and $(3+\bar{3})$ configurations around at $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90^\circ$ (second and fourth panel respectively).

V. RESULTS FOR HIERARCHY SENSITIVITY

We now discuss the hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA $(3+\bar{3})$ in the presence of a sterile neutrino. In the Fig. 3 we have given the 2σ hierarchy contours in the $\delta_{14}(\text{true})$ - $\theta_{23}(\text{true})$ plane for three values of δ_{14}. The red contours are for standard three flavor case and the blue contours are for 3+1 case. For the region inside the contours one can exclude the wrong hierarchy at 2σ. Here the true hierarchy is NH. While generating these plots we have marginalized over test values of δ_{13}, δ_{14} and $|\Delta m^2_{31}|$. We have assumed the octant to be unknown and known in the left and right panels respectively. The top, middle and bottom rows corresponds to $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ$, 0° and 90° respectively.

For the standard three flavor scenario we see NOνA has 2σ hierarchy sensitivity around -90° for all the values of θ_{23} ranging from 35° to 55°. This is irrespective of the information of the octant. This is because for NOνA $(3+\bar{3})$, $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ do not suffer from hierarchy degeneracy in NH. This can be understood from Fig. 2 by noting the absence of purple contour in NOνA $(3+\bar{3})$ for both LO and HO.

In the 3+1 case, if δ_{14} is -90° then the hierarchy sensitivity is lost when θ_{23} is less than 43° in the known octant case (top left panel). Note that though NOνA $(3+\bar{3})$ does not have a WH solution at 90%, the loss of hierarchy sensitivity implies that this degeneracy re-appears at 2σ. If the octant is known then the sensitivity of 3+1 coincides with the standard 3 flavor case (top right panel). This signifies that the loss of sensitivity in the 3+1 case for the value of $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ$ is mainly due to the WH-WO solution. In the middle row we see that in the 3+1 case, one cannot have hierarchy sensitivity at 2σ for true $\delta_{14} = 0^\circ$ if θ_{23} is less than 46° (42°) when the octant is unknown (known) as can be seen from the middle panels. This implies that for this value of true δ_{14} the hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA is affected by the WH solution occurring with both right and wrong octant. But the most remarkable result is found for $\delta_{14} = 90^\circ$ (bottom panels). For this value of δ_{14} we see that the hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA is completely lost. This is mainly due to the WH-RO solution. Thus we understand that if there exists a $\sim$ 1eV sterile neutrino in addition to the three active neutrinos and the value of δ_{14} chosen by nature is $+90^\circ$, then NOνA can not have even a 2σ hierarchy sensitivity for $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ and NH which is present best fit of NOνA.



FIG. 3: Contour plots at 2σ C.L. in the $\theta_{23}(\text{true})$ vs $\delta_{13}(\text{true})$ plane for Octant Unknown (left panel) and Octant Known (right panel) scenarios for NOνA $(3+\bar{3})$. The first, second and third rows are for $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ$, 0° and 90° respectively. The true and test hierarchies are chosen to be normal (NH) and inverted hierarchy (IH) respectively. Also shown contours for the 3ν flavor scenario.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this work we have studied the parameter degeneracy and hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA in the presence of a sterile neutrino. Apart from the hierarchy-δ_{13} and octant-δ_{13} degeneracy in the standard three flavor scenario, we have identified two new degeneracies appearing with the new phase δ_{14} which occur for every value of δ_{13}. These are hierarchy-δ_{14} degeneracy and octant-δ_{14} degeneracy. Unlike the standard three generation case, here the octant degeneracy behaves similarly for neutrinos and antineutrinos and the hierarchy degeneracy behaves differently. Thus a combination of neutrinos and antineutrinos are unable to resolve the octant-δ_{14} degeneracy but can resolve the hierarchy-δ_{14} degeneracy. To identify the degenerate parameter space we present our results in $\theta_{23}(\text{test})$ - $\delta_{13}(\text{test})$ plane for three values of $\delta_{14}(\text{true})$ assuming (i) NOνA runs in pure neutrino mode and (ii) NOνA runs in equal neutrino and antineutrino mode. We have chosen normal hierarchy and $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ motivated by the latest fit from NOνA data. In those plots we find that there are different RH-WO, WH-RO and WH-WO regions depending on the true nature of the octant of θ_{23} and true value of δ_{14}. From these plots we find that the addition of antineutrinos helps to resolve the WH solutions but fails to remove the WO solutions appearing at $\delta_{13}(\text{test}) = -90^\circ$. However we find that for $\delta_{14}(\text{true}) = 90^\circ$ and LO, the antineutrino run of NOνA is unable to resolve the WH solution appearing with right octant at 90% C.L. While for $\delta_{14}(\text{true}) = 0^\circ$, the WH-RO solution grows in size for NOνA $(3+\bar{3})$ as compared to NOνA $(6+\bar{0})$. Comparing these with that of standard three flavor case we find that apart from the small RH-WO regions for the true higher octant, there are no other degenerate allowed regions for this choice of $\delta_{13}(\text{true})$ and hierarchy in the three flavor case for NOνA $(3+\bar{3})$. Note the region $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ and NH is the favorable parameter space of NOνA which does not suffer from hierarchy-δ_{13} degeneracy in the standard three flavor scenario where NOνA can have good hierarchy sensitivity. But now in the 3+1 case, the hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA for this parameter value can suffer due to the existence of the new degeneracies. To study that we plot the 2σ hierarchy contours in the $\theta_{23}(\text{true})$-$\delta_{13}(\text{true})$ plane for three values of true δ_{14} in NH. While in the standard three flavor case one can have 2σ hierarchy sensitivity for all the values of θ_{23} ranging from 35° to 55°, in the 3+1 case we find that for $\delta_{14} = -90^\circ$ and $\theta_{23} = 43^\circ$ the hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA is lost. For the value of $\delta_{14} = 0^\circ$, the hierarchy sensitivity of NOνA is also compromised if θ_{23} is less than 46°. But the most serious deterioration in hierarchy sensitivity occurs if the value of δ_{14} chosen by nature is $+90^\circ$. At this value of δ_{14}, NOνA suffers from hierarchy degeneracy and thus it has no hierarchy sensitivity for any value of θ_{23}. Therefore if: (i) the hint of $\delta_{13} = -90^\circ$ persists; (ii) the data begins to show a preference towards LO; and (iii) the observed hierarchy sensitivity is less than the expected sensitivity, then this can be a signal from NOνA towards existence of a sterile neutrino with $\delta_{14} \neq -90^\circ$.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work of MG is supported by the "Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan", under Grant No. 25105009. SG, ZM, PS and AGW acknowledge the support by the University of Adelaide and the Australian Research Council through the ARC Centre of Excellence for Particle Physics at the Terascale (CoEPP) (grant no. CE110001004).

[1] K. Abe *et al.* (T2K), (2017), arXiv:1701.00432 [hep-ex].
[2] P. Adamson *et al.*, (2017), arXiv:1703.03328 [hep-ex].
[3] V. Barger, D. Marfatia, and K. Whisnant, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 073023 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0112119 [hep-ph].
[4] M. Ghosh, P. Ghoshal, S. Goswami, N. Nath, and S. K. Raut, Phys. Rev. **D93**, 013013 (2016), arXiv:1504.06283 [hep-ph].
[5] S. Prakash, S. K. Raut, and S. U. Sankar, Phys. Rev. **D86**, 033012 (2012), arXiv:1201.6485 [hep-ph].
[6] S. K. Agarwalla, S. Prakash, and S. U. Sankar, JHEP **07**, 131 (2013), arXiv:1301.2574 [hep-ph].
[7] M. Ghosh, S. Goswami, and S. K. Raut, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A32**, 1750034 (2017), arXiv:1409.5046 [hep-ph].
[8] M. Ghosh, Phys. Rev. **D93**, 073003 (2016), arXiv:1512.02226 [hep-ph].
[9] D. Hollander and I. Mocioiu, Phys. Rev. **D91**, 013002 (2015), arXiv:1408.1749 [hep-ph].
[10] S. K. Agarwalla, S. S. Chatterjee, A. Dasgupta, and A. Palazzo, JHEP **02**, 111 (2016), arXiv:1601.05995 [hep-ph].
[11] S. K. Agarwalla, S. S. Chatterjee, and A. Palazzo, JHEP **09**, 016 (2016), arXiv:1603.03759 [hep-ph].
[12] S. K. Agarwalla, S. S. Chatterjee, and A. Palazzo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 031804 (2017), arXiv:1605.04299 [hep-ph].
[13] A. Palazzo, Phys. Lett. **B757**, 142 (2016), arXiv:1509.03148 [hep-ph].
[14] R. Gandhi, B. Kayser, M. Masud, and S. Prakash, JHEP **11**, 039 (2015), arXiv:1508.06275 [hep-ph].
[15] D. Dutta, R. Gandhi, B. Kayser, M. Masud, and S. Prakash, JHEP **11**, 122 (2016), arXiv:1607.02152 [hep-ph].
[16] N. Klop and A. Palazzo, Phys. Rev. **D91**, 073017 (2015), arXiv:1412.7524 [hep-ph].
[17] B. Bhattacharya, A. M. Thalapillil, and C. E. M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 073004 (2012), arXiv:1111.4225 [hep-ph].
[18] J. M. Berryman, A. de Gouvêa, K. J. Kelly, and A. Kobach, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 073012 (2015), arXiv:1507.03986 [hep-ph].
[19] K. J. Kelly, (2017), arXiv:1703.00448 [hep-ph].
[20] P. Adamson *et al.* (MINOS), Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 011802 (2011), arXiv:1104.3922 [hep-ex].
[21] K. N. Abazajian *et al.*, (2012), arXiv:1204.5379 [hep-ph].
[22] A. Palazzo, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A28**, 1330004 (2013), arXiv:1302.1102 [hep-ph].
[23] T. Lasserre, *Proceedings, 13th International Conference on Topics in Astroparticle and Underground Physics (TAUP 2013): Asilomar, California, September 8-13, 2013*, Phys. Dark Univ. **4**, 81 (2014), arXiv:1404.7352 [hep-ex].

[24] F. P. An *et al.* (Daya Bay), Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 141802 (2014), arXiv:1407.7259 [hep-ex].

[25] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* (Planck), Astron. Astrophys. **594**, A13 (2016), arXiv:1502.01589 [astro-ph.CO].

[26] S. Gariazzo, C. Giunti, M. Laveder, Y. F. Li, and E. M. Zavanin, J. Phys. **G43**, 033001 (2016), arXiv:1507.08204 [hep-ph].

[27] F. P. An *et al.* (Daya Bay), Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 151802 (2016), arXiv:1607.01174 [hep-ex].

[28] S. Choubey and D. Pramanik, Phys. Lett. **B764**, 135 (2017), arXiv:1604.04731 [hep-ph].

[29] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), (2017), arXiv:1702.05160 [hep-ex].

[30] J. Kopp, P. A. N. Machado, M. Maltoni, and T. Schwetz, JHEP **05**, 050 (2013), arXiv:1303.3011 [hep-ph].

[31] Y. J. Ko *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 121802 (2017), arXiv:1610.05134 [hep-ex].

[32] N. Klop and A. Palazzo, Physical Review D **91** (2015), 10.1103/physrevd.91.073017.

[33] S. K. Agarwalla, S. Prakash, S. K. Raut, and S. U. Sankar, JHEP **12**, 075 (2012), arXiv:1208.3644 [hep-ph].

[34] P. Huber, M. Lindner, and W. Winter, Comput. Phys. Commun. **167**, 195 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0407333 [hep-ph].

[35] P. Huber, J. Kopp, M. Lindner, M. Rolinec, and W. Winter, Comput. Phys. Commun. **177**, 432 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0701187 [hep-ph].

[36] P. Huber, J. Kopp, M. Lindner, M. Rolinec, and W. Winter, Computer Physics Communications **177**, 439 (2007).

[37] P. Huber, M. Lindner, T. Schwetz, and W. Winter, Journal of High Energy Physics **2009**, 044 (2009).

[38] D. V. Forero, M. Tortola, and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. **D90**, 093006 (2014), arXiv:1405.7540 [hep-ph].

[39] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, I. Martinez-Soler, and T. Schwetz, JHEP **01**, 087 (2017), arXiv:1611.01514 [hep-ph].

[40] F. Capozzi, G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino, and A. Palazzo, Phys. Rev. **D89**, 093018 (2014), arXiv:1312.2878 [hep-ph].

[41] C. Giunti and M. Laveder, Phys. Rev. **D84**, 073008 (2011), arXiv:1107.1452 [hep-ph].

[42] C. Giunti, M. Laveder, Y. F. Li, and H. W. Long, Phys. Rev. **D88**, 073008 (2013), arXiv:1308.5288 [hep-ph].

[43] S. Gariazzo, C. Giunti, M. Laveder, and Y. F. Li, (2017), arXiv:1703.00860 [hep-ph].